Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60201, and the Department of Chemistry, University of Illinois at Chicago Circle, Chicago, Illinois 60680

The Structure and Absolute Configuration of $(-)_{546}$ -cis,trans,cis-Bis(diaminopropionato)cobalt(III) Bromide, [Co(C₃H₇N₂O₂)₂]Br

BY CHUI FAN LIU AND JAMES A. IBERS

Received December 27, 1968

One of the two *cis* isomers of bis(L-diaminopropionato)cobalt(III) bromide, $[Co(C_3H_7N_2O_2)_2]Br$, was examined by X-ray methods. The red crystals belong to space group D_2^{a} -P2₁2₁2 of the orthorhombic system ($a = 11.76 \pm 0.02$, $b = 7.49 \pm 0.02$, $c = 5.91 \pm 0.02$ Å). The density of 2.21 g/cm³ calculated for two formula weights per unit cell agrees well with the measured value of 2.19 ± 0.01 g/cm³. X-Ray data were collected by the equiinclination Weissenberg method, and intensities of 450 independent reflections were estimated visually. The structure was refined by least-squares methods to a conventional R factor of 0.070. The absolute configuration of the complex was determined by least-squares refinements of the two mirror image structures. The complex is monomeric and contains two ligands per complex. Molecular symmetry 2 is imposed on the cation by crystallographic requirements. Coordination around the central Co atom is that of a distorted octahedron. The carboxyl groups are *cis* to each other as are the terminal amine groups. The α -amino groups occupy *trans* positions. The C_2 axis helicity of the ethylenediamine part of the molecule is Λ while the helicity of the α -amino acid ring is Δ .

Introduction

Recently the complexes of cobalt(III) with 2,3-diaminopropionic acid have been prepared.¹ In these

СООН	ÇOOH
$NH_2 - H$	$H \rightarrow NH_2$
$\dot{C}H_2NH_2$	$\dot{\mathrm{C}}\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{NH}_{2}$
2.9 diaminanyanianta - 11	

L-2,3-diaminopropionic acid D-2,3-diaminopropionic acid

complexes the diaminopropionic acid acts as a tridentate ligand, and, owing to steric considerations, the ligand is constrained to occupy a triangular face of the cobalt(III) octahedron. In this fashion the 1:2 complex of cobalt(III) and diaminopropionic acid may exist in five geometric isomers, and four of these should be resolvable into D and L forms. The five possible geometric isomers are depicted in Figure 1. All of these isomers have been isolated, and, where possible, the configurations have been assigned by the use of absorption, ORD, and CD spectra, as well as by chemical means. These complexes are labeled according to the convention that the carboxyl groups are designated first, then the α -amino group, and last the β -amino group. Thus, the five isomers may be named trans, cis, cis (A), cis, cis, trans (B), cis,trans,cis (C), cis,cis,cis (D), and trans,trans,trans (E). Unambiguous differentiation of the isomers B and C was not possible by the chemical and spectral methods used. Since this is a complete series of isomers whose ORD and CD spectra are significant in correlating structures of other complexes, definitive assignments of these structures are highly desirable. In this paper we describe the detailed determination of the structure and absolute configuration of an isomer that proves to be the L-cis, trans, cis isomer (isomer C of Figure 1).

Collection and Reduction of X-Ray Data

The red crystals of what proved to be L-cis,trans,cisbis(diaminopropionato)cobalt(III) bromide were ex-

amined by precession, Weissenberg, and optical methods and were found to belong to the orthorhombic system. Systematic extinctions are h00, for h odd, and 0k0, for k odd. These extinctions are characteristic of the space group D_2^3 -P2₁2₁2. The lattice dimensions are $a = 11.76 \pm 0.02$, $b = 7.49 \pm 0.02$, and $c = 5.91 \pm$ 0.02 Å at 27°, as determined from precession photographs taken with Mo K α radiation ($\lambda 0.7107$ Å). The calculated density of 2.21 g/cm^3 with two molecules per unit cell agrees satisfactorily with the value of $2.19 \pm$ 0.01 g/cm³ obtained by flotation of the crystals in chloroform-bromoform solutions. Intensity data were collected at room temperature using the multiple-film equiinclination Weissenberg technique from a crystal of approximate dimensions $0.4 \times 0.2 \times 0.1$ mm with the short dimension of the crystal along the spindle axis. Zirconium-filtered Mo $K\alpha$ radiation was employed. Integrated exposures of the layers hk0 through hk7 were made. Four hundred and fifty independent reflections accessible within the angular range of $2\theta_{Mo} \leq 56^{\circ}$ were estimated visually. The usual Lorentz-polarization factor was applied, and the data were then corrected for absorption. For the absorption correction the 12 crystal faces were indexed by optical goniometry and their dimensions were carefully measured. These crystal faces are of the forms {011}, {001}, {110}, and {100}. With a linear absorption coefficient of 58.21 cm^{-1} the transmission coefficients were found to range from about 0.128 to 0.514.²

Solution of the Structure

A complete trial structure was readily found from the usual combination of Patterson and difference Fourier maps. With two molecules in space group $P2_12_12$ the cobalt atoms may be placed in the special positions (2a) while the bromine atoms may be placed in the

⁽¹⁾ W. A. Freeman and C. F. Liu, Inorg. Chem., 7, 764 (1968).

⁽²⁾ In addition to various local programs for the CDC 6400 computer local modifications of the following programs were used in this work: Zalkin's FORDAP Fourier program, Busing and Levy's ORFLS and ORFFE least-squares and error function programs, Johnson's ORFE thermal ellipsoid plotting program, and Burnham's ONABS absorption program.

$(-)_{546}$ -cis, trans, cis-Bis(diaminopropionato) cobalt(III) Bromide				
Atom	x	У	z	B^{a} , a 3
Co	1/2	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.1171(4)	
Br	0	1/2	0.3735(3)	
C(1)	$0.3222 \ (13)^{b}$	0.3465(21)	-0.0920(21)	1.01(25)
C(2)	0.3636 (13)	0.2343(23)	0.1041 (28)	1.72(29)
C(3)	0.3144(16)	0.3069(34)	0.3069 (27)	2.22(36)
N(1)	0.4853(11)	0.2410(15)	0.1111 (20)	1.19(22)
N(2)	0.3761 (11)	0.4921(43)	0.3434(19)	2.03(25)
O(1)	0.3871 (8)	0.4873(27)	-0.1068(15)	1.41(20)
O(2)	0.2390 (9)	0.3141 (18)	-0.2047(17)	1.61(23)
N(1)H(1)	0.516	0.182	0.260	
N(1)H(2)	0.522	0.183	-0.025	
N(2)H(1)	0.321	0.598	0.322	
N(2)H(2)	0.410	0.500	0.507	
C(2)H(1)	0.336	0.097	0.087	
C(3)H(1)	0.223	0,329	0.286	
C(3)H(2)	0.329	0.220	0.451	

TABLE I FINAL POSITIONAL AND THERMAL PARAMETERS FOR

^a The form of the thermal ellipsoid is $\exp[-(\beta_{11}h^2 + \beta_{22}k^2 + \beta_{33}l^2 + 2\beta_{12}hk)]$. The root-mean-square amplitudes of vibration (in Å) for Co and Br are: 075 (20), 129 (10), and 155 (11); and 155 (9), 167 (7), and 207 (6). The anisotropic thermal parameters for cobalt are: β_{11} , 0.00246 (23); β_{22} , 0.0045 (7), β_{33} , 0.0095 (15); β_{12} , 0.0020 (7). Those for Br are: β_{11} , 0.0059 (3); β_{22} , 0.0103 (7); β_{33} , 0.0137 (15); β_{12} , -0.0010 (7). ^b The figures in parentheses are standard deviations and refer to least significant digits.



Figure 1.-The five geometrical isomers of the bis(2,3-diaminopropionato)cobalt(III) ion: (A) trans, cis, cis, (B) cis, cistrans, (C) cis, trans, cis, (D) cis, cis, cis, (E) trans, trans, trans. (A), (B), and (C) require two ligands of the same absolute configuration-L in the above representations; (D) and (E) require that the two ligands have opposite absolute configurations.

other special positions (2b). Thus the cation is required crystallographically to possess symmetry 2.

The trial structure was refined by the least-squares procedure. The function minimized was $\Sigma w(|F_o| |F_{\rm c}|$)². The weighting scheme was based on observed film intensities. When the observed intensity is lower than 20, the reflection is assigned $w = I_0^2/(4F^2)$, where I_{o} is the observed intensity and |F| is the observed structure amplitude. When the observed intensity is greater than 20, the reflection is assigned $w = 100/F^2$. The values of I_o ranged from 2 to 210, with 2 barely visible.

The atomic scattering factors for the neutral atoms from the usual tabulation³ were used. The values of $\Delta f'$ and $\Delta f''$ for cobalt and bromine were those of Cromer⁴ and were included in the calculated structure factors.⁵ The initial refinement with individual isotropic thermal parameters assigned to all atoms converged to a conventional R_1 factor $(R_1 = \Sigma ||F_o| - |F_c|| / \Sigma |\breve{F}_o|)$ of 0.123 and a weighted R factor R_2 $(R_2 = (\Sigma w(|F_0| - |F_0|)^2/$ $\Sigma w F_0$)^{1/2}) of 0.172. At this point idealized positions of the hydrogen atoms were calculated⁶ by using the N-H distance of 1.03 Å, C–H distance of 1.11 Å, and H–N–H and H-C-H angles of 109° 28'. These hydrogen atoms were introduced into the refinement while their positional and thermal parameters were held constant. This refinement converged to values of $R_1 = 0.086$ and $R_2 = 0.102$. Since the space group involved is polar, it was necessary to attempt to distinguish between the two possible mirror image structures. Accordingly, a refinement for each of the two mirror image structures was carried out with all of the centrosymmetric zonal reflections omitted. The original trial structure refined to values of R_1 = 0.081 and $R_2 = 0.093$ while the mirror image structure refined to values of $R_1 = 0.074$ and $R_2 = 0.085$, a significant improvement. Refinement of the latter structure with the full set of data converged at $R_1 = 0.081$ and $R_2 =$ 0.094. A difference Fourier map at this point revealed electron density no higher than $1.7 \text{ e}^{-}/\text{Å}^3$ (65% of a carbon atom in this structure) in the vicinity of the heavy atoms. A further refinement was carried out in which the Co and Br were allowed to vibrate anisotropically. This refinement converged to $R_1 = 0.070$ and $R_2 = 0.084$. A difference Fourier map after this refinement showed the highest electron density to be $1.2 \text{ e}^{-}/\text{Å}^{3}$ in the vicinity of the Br atom. The final $|F_c|$ values are based on the parameters from this refinement. These parameters are given in Table I. Table II lists the final values of the observed and calculated structure amplitudes $(\times 10)$ in electrons.

⁽³⁾ J. A. Ibers, "International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography," Vol. The Kynoch Press, Birmingham, England, 1962, Table 3.3.1A.
 D. T. Cromer, Acta Cryst., 18, 17 (1965).

⁽⁵⁾ J. A. Ibers and W. C. Hamilton, ibid., 17, 781 (1964).

⁽⁶⁾ D. N. Raymond, D. W. Meek, and J. A. Ibers, Inorg. Chem., 7, 1111 (1968).

TABLE II

TABLE III

POSSIBLE A-H···B BONDS

А	н	В	А-Н, Å	н…в, Å	A···B, Å	$A-H\cdots B$, deg
N(1)	N(1)H(1)	Br $(\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, 1 - z)$	1.03	2.57	3.54	155
N(1)	N(1)H(2)	Br $(\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, -z)$	1.03	2.49	3.39	149
N(2)	N(2)H(1)	$O(2) \left(\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, -z \right)$	1.03	1.90	2.89	159
N(2)	N(2)H(2)	O(1) (x, y, 1 + z)	1.05	2.30	3.25	150

Discussion of the Structure

The crystal structure consists of the packing of separated bis(diaminopropionato)cobalt(III) and bromide ions. The structure of the complex is depicted by a perspective drawing in Figure 2. As would be expected all



Figure 2.—A perspective drawing of the $Co(C_{a}H_{7}N_{2}O_{2})_{2}$ cation. A crystallographic twofold axis relates the primed and unprimed atoms.

of the hydrogen atoms on the nitrogen atoms appear to enter into hydrogen bonds. These interactions are listed in Table III. The remaining three hydrogen atoms on the carbon atoms do not appear to form hydrogen bonds. The intermolecular contacts appear to be normal, with the possible exception of an O(2)-C(3)nonbonded interaction of 3.02 Å.

The geometric arrangement of the donor groups is cis,trans,cis according to the convention noted earlier. Table IV summarizes the bond distances and bond angles of the complex. The objective of the present study was the determination of the absolute configuration of the cation; we did not expect accurate determinations of

TABLE IV						
INTERATOMIC DISTANCES AND ANGLES						
Atoms	Distance, Å	Atoms	Angle, deg			
Co-O(1)	1.876 (9)	O(1)-Co-N(1)	83 (1)			
$C_0-N(1)$	1.95(1)	O(1)-Co-N(2)	87 (1)			
CoN(2)	1.98(1)	N(1)-Co-N(2)	85 (1)			
C(2)-C(3)	1.44(2)	Co-N(1)-C(2)	97(1)			
C(2)-N(1)	1.43(2)	N(1)-C(2)-C(1)	109(1)			
C(3)-N(2)	1.58(4)	N(1)-C(2)-C(3)	111(1)			
C(1) - O(2)	1.21(2)	C(2)-C(1)-O(1)	108(1)			
C(1)-O(1)	1.30(2)	C(2)-C(1)-O(2)	125(1)			
C(1)-C(2)	1.51(2)	C(3)-N(2)-Co	106(1)			
Co-Co	5.91	C(2)-C(3)-N(2)	105(1)			
Co–Br	4.74	Co-O(1)-C(1)	114(1)			
		O(2)-C(1)-O(1)	127(1)			
		C(3)-C(2)-C(1)	107(1)			

light atom-light atom distances because of the use of film data and the presence of the heavy cobalt and bromine scatterers. Nevertheless it is worthwhile making a limited number of comparisons of the derived distances with those in the literature. The Co-N distances are comparable to those found for the *trans*-dichlorobis(*l*-propylenediamine)cobalt(III) ion⁷ (1.94– 2.02 (6) Å). The fact that we find the Co-O distance to be slightly shorter than the Co-N distances is consistent with the usual covalent radii. The distance of 1.44 (2) Å between the α -carbon and the β -carbon atoms

(7) Y. Saito and H. Iwasaki, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 35, 1131 (1962).

is significantly shorter than that of a paraffin C–C bond. However, it is within the range of α -carbon- β -carbon distances found in $bis(\beta-aminobutyrato)copper(II)$ dihydrate⁸ (1.49 (3) Å) and bis(histidinato)nickel(II)⁹ (1.47 Å). The distances among the atoms in the carboxyl group are all similar to the distances found in other α -amino acid-metal complexes.⁸⁻¹² The α -carbon- α -nitrogen distance of 1.43 (2) Å is very close to the range of 1.44–1.52 Å quoted by Blount, et al.,¹³ for this type of bond in peptide–copper complexes. The β -carbon- β -nitrogen distance of 1.58 (4) Å is possibly significantly longer than that of 1.49 (2) Å found in $(\beta$ -alaninyl-L-histidinato)copper(II) dihydrate.¹⁰ The difference between the two carbon-nitrogen distances (α -carbon- α -amine and β -carbon- β -amine) in the present structure of 0.15 (3) Å may be significant but may also be an indication that we have underestimated the standard deviations on the light atom-light atom distances. All of the bond angles are consistent with those found in ethylenediamine and α -amino acid complexes.

2,3-Diaminopropionic acid acts as a tridentate ligand and forms a rigid fused-ring chelate system in which the absolute configuration of the ligand uniquely determines the absolute configuration of the complex. This determination has resulted in the absolute configuration of the complex and the ligands. This assignment of the absolute configuration of the ligand corresponds to that made by Karrer and Schlosser¹⁴ on the basis of chemical correlation with *l*-serine. The absolute configuration of the complex is of interest in that the fused-ring system introduces ambiguity into the simple helical model generally employed in designating optical isomers. According to the currently accepted convention the chirality of the complex can be defined by the helicity of the

(8) R. F. Bryan, R. J. Poljak, and D. Tomia, Acta Cryst., 14, 1125 (1961).

- (9) K. A. Fraser, H. A. Long, R. Candlin, and M. M. Harding, Chem. Commun., 344 (1965).
- (10) H. C. Freeman and J. T. Szymanski, Acta Cryst., 22, 406 (1967).
 (11) H. C. Freeman, M. R. Snow, I. Nitta, and K. Tomita, *ibid.*, 17,

1463 (1964).
(12) R. D. Gillard, R. Mason, N. C. Payne, and G. B. Robertson, Chem. Commun., 155 (1966).

(13) J. F. Blount, K. A. Fraser, H. C. Freeman, J. T. Szymanski, and C. H. Wang, Acta Cryst., 22, 396 (1967).

(14) P. Karrer and A. Schlosser, Helv. Chim. Acta, 6, 411 (1923).

chelate rings viewed along a C_2 or pseudo- C_2 axis. The central atoms and the two donor atoms of a bidentate ligand define a plane. Two such planes in a cis-bisbidentate complex define a twofold axis and a helix. When the helix is right-handed the complex is labled Δ . The mirror image is labeled Λ . Viewed down the twofold axis of the present complex, the two ethylenediamine rings form a left-handed helix, and from this standpoint the complex may be labeled Λ . On the other hand, the α -amino acid part of the complex gives rise to a conventional Δ absolute configuration. The β amino acid moieties give chelate rings which cannot be designated as either Δ or Λ . Thus the simple helical model seems inadequate in assigning a label to the absolute configuration of the compound. Clearly, a different model or at least a modification of the simple model is needed. At this point one is again forced to rely on optical rotation data and merely label the compound (+) or (-) at a particular wavelength. Therefore, the compound studied is designated as $(-)_{546}$ -cis,trans,cisbis(L-diaminopropionato)cobalt(III) bromide.

The conformations of the chelate rings are also of interest. The conformation of a chelate ring can be labeled according to the following convention. When an ethylenediamine chelate ring is viewed along the axis through the two nitrogen atoms the sequence of bonds N-C-C-N defines a helix. When this system is right handed, the conformation is labeled δ ; the mirror image is labeled λ . According to this convention, the ethylenediamine rings in the present complex assume δ conformations instead of the λ conformation usually found for a Λ complex. This is due to the fact that the carboxyl group which otherwise would occupy an equatorial position is coordinated and, therefore, is required to be in an axial position. The conformation of the α -amino acid chelate ring is λ , again due to the rigid fused-ring system. The same factor is responsible for the boat conformation of the six-membered β -amino acid rings.

Acknowledgment.—C. F. L. wishes gratefully to acknowledge the financial assistance of the National Institutes of Health through a special postdoctoral fellowship and a research grant (GM-14254).